

United States Patent and Trademark Office

7

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,886	07	//31/2003	Chanda Zaveri	8035-002-DIV1	3054	
32301	7590	05/23/2006		EXAMINER		
		ROUP, APC AD, SUITE S-170	KEMMERER,	KEMMERER, ELIZABETH		
SAN DIEGO		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			1646		
				DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/632,886	ZAVERI, CHANDA				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D.	1646				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ap	oril 2006.					
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or elected.						
	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
		.,					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 7, and 8, drawn to peptides and pharmaceutical compositions comprising the peptide, classified in class 530, subclass 300, for example.
- II. Claims 2-6, drawn to nucleic acids, vectors, host cells, and methods of recombinantly producing peptides, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1, for example.
- III. Claim 9, drawn to a therapeutic method, classified in class 514, subclass2, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, Groups I and II are directed to products that do not overlap in scope in that they are distinct both physically and functionally and are not required one for the other. Also, the products have different modes of operation in that the peptides have biological activity whereas the nucleic acids have no inherent biological activity other than that they can hybridize to other nucleic acids or be used as a template in transcription. Therefore, the products

are patentably distinct. Further, the peptide of Group I can be prepared by processes which are materially different from recombinant DNA expression of Group II, such as by chemical synthesis. Additionally, the DNA of Group II can be used other than to make the protein of Group I, such in gene therapy or as a probe in nucleic acid hybridization assays. Examination of both products in one patent application would result in an undue search burden, since each requires a separate search of the literature and sequence databases.

Page 3

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the peptide can be used to make antibodies or to identify binding partners (e.g., receptors) in a sample.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different modes of operation.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0874. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ECK

May 18, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elyabett C. Kemmeres